

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Richard L. Myers Myers, Dawes, Andras & Sherman LLP Suite 1150 19900 MacArthur Blvd Irvine, CA 92612 MALED

DEC U 6 2004

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re Application of:

Eric Erza Youngberg Serial No. 10/728,709

Filed: December 5, 2003

For: WATER HEATER SECURITY SYSTEM

DECISION ON PETITION

TO MAKE SPECIAL

(INFRINGEMENT)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.102(d) filed July 29, 2004 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.

MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The petition filed July 29, 2004 includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt bona fide effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

Kenneth J. Dorner

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 3600 (703) 308-0866

kjd:12/2/04